PATENT

IFW

Practition	ier's Docket No. <u>6068</u>	0-7189	
IN S	THE UNITED STATES I	PATENT AN	D TRADEMARK OFFICE
Inde applic Application Filed: For:	eation of: Richardson No.: 10 /677,760 10/02/03 STEER AXLE SUSPENSI	Group No.: Examiner: ON	3611 Unassigned <sup>°</sup>
Commission P.O. Box 1	oner for Patents 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313	3-1450	
	STA	TUS INQUII	RY
WARINING	<ul> <li>Submission of a status letter after in patent term adjustment under 111–112, June 26, 2001.</li> </ul>	er a Notice of Allov - 37 C.F.R. § 1.70	wance may subect an application to a reduction 4(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG
	han <u>17</u> months have p		
تقا	the filing of this application	on <u>Octobe</u>	r 2, 2003
•	No communication has be indicating action on this ap	en received fr	om the Patent and Trademark Office
. 🗆	AMENDED APPLICATIONS	i	
	the filing of a response on		<del></del>
	No further communication Office.	has been rece	eived from the Patent and Trademark
	APPEALED APPLICATION		
	The Appeal Brief was	filed on	·
	When using Express Mail,	IDER 37 C.F.R. the Express Mail Mail certification is	§§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* label number is mandatory; coptional.)
	tify that, on the date shown below	MAILING	
Box 145	ed with the United States Postal Se 50, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) fficient postage as first class mail.	☐ as "Exp	pe addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.  37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *  press Mail Post Office to Addressee"  pel No (mandatory)
		TRANSMISSION	
☐ facsimil	e transmitted to the Patent and Tr	rademark Office, (	103) dark Garagioli
Date:	1/05	Signature Lindo	K. Gavagiole

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

(check and complete applicable items below)
☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

## "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

## "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.: 41908

Tel. No.: (2487) 203-0822

William F. Kolakowski III

(type or print name of practitioner)
Dykema Gossett PLLC

39577 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300

P.O. Address

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-2820

Customer No.: 26127

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

9-12

9-	13

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATION SERIAL NO. 0	/ IS CURRENTLY
		JP AND AWAITS:
	☐ ACTION BY TH	
	☐ APPLICANT'S I	RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APPEAL	NO	
	IS AWAITING ACTION ENCES	N BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-
	☐ DATE OF HEARI	NG EXPECTED
	☐ DECISION EXPE	CTED